| **Student Name: Louca** |
| --- |

| **Motion**: **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Nice hook! I’m not sure if a Harvard student is representative of the average person. * Give me more eye contact! * Hand gestures need to be increased! * Good eye contact! * Good signposting. * Remember that you must tell me how exactly this is going to work. This is the model part of your speech!   Argument: Minors can be exploited   * Why are contracts likely to be lopsided? Is it because they won’t have lawyers that are good, etc? * Good pre-emption! * Try to give me multiple reasons for why certain angles and arguments could be true. For instance, if you’re suggesting that the industry is unstable - why is that so? * Try to arrange your speech in a way that is a bit easier to track. For example, you can try to do this;   + Claim: This could ruin their future   + Why? Firstly, X. \*Give me three reasons for why X is true. Next, Y. \*Give me three reasons for why Y is true.\*   + You can also tell me how this is likely to be something that the kids can’t really understand. Is it because they are only thinking of money, power, and fame?   Speaking time: 4:31, good work! | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Alvina** |
| --- |

| **Motion**:  **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Good hook! Nicely done. * Good signposting! Good eye contact and hand gestures too. * Don’t call your set-up simple!! Also, you want to point out that the first proposition didn’t have a set-up, to make the proposition go on a defensive position.   Rebuttals   * Fair enough that people need to get used to the pressure of life - but is the pressure of life really the equivalent of the pressure of a professional athlete? It might not be. * You want to try to explain that people are quite likely to have a lot of protection when you go for a contract. This is because you are a minor, you have legal counsel, etc. Try to avoid a single line response like “You need parents consent.” This is much more convincing. * Don’t take a POI midway through the speech! Finish your sentence and proceed.   Time management is an issue - Your rebuttals took up almost 4 minutes of your speech!  Argument - Better future   * Fair enough, but you really really want to make sure you are giving me multiple reasons for why your main premise is true. The main assumption is that they will be successful?   Speaking time: 05:34.02, nice! | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Giselle** |
| --- |

| **Motion**:  **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Nice hook. You gotta make sure that you are employing different tones and emotions though - at the beginning, an angry and serious tone would have been perfect!   Rebuttal   * Why is it true that they will be told to prioritise their sports career? Is it because they might be forced to due to coaches and clubs? Give me a few reasons. * Parents could be greedy - Good! Tell me why the money may blind the parents. Its also possible that the parents may be good parents, but just really ambitious with what their child could achieve. * Good rebuttal on injuries! * Business; you can also say that this really isn’t analogous. Getting exploited isn’t the same as learning that life is hard.   Argument: Power symmetry   * You can also say that adults have a position of power because of the assumed authority they have over a child - the child is expected to listen to them. The child also really really wants to stay on the team and be successful. This is why coaches have a lot of power! * Good example re:Momo. It works. * What does this power symmetry do? Does it harm their self-esteem, etc? * Child labour is a bit extreme.   4:38.61 speaking time, good work! | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Liam** |
| --- |

| **Motion**: **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Nice hook! * Try to start your speech with some signposting; this means, telling me what the flow of your speech is going to be. * Always remember to flow with your speech like this;   + Hook   + Signpost   + Rebuttals   + Arguments * You have good arguments - for each of the reasons you have given me, I want you to make sure that you are breaking each of them down and giving me three reasons for why each claim is true. This makes your arguments much more believable! * Try to give me some hand gestures and eye contact! * Let’s do some vocal contrast in our speech! You gotta have different tones. * Good argument re:Money. You could also say that look, you can go into coaching etc. * Injuries; you can also say that it's quite likely that coaches will want to do their best to prevent an injury! This is because you end up losing players that could win in a game this way.   Speaking time: 3:20.14 good work! Let's aim for 4 next week. | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Michael** |
| --- |

| **Motion**:  **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Good hook! * If you are going to focus on one specific actor (e.g., parent) you gotta break down why they are super important first!   Rebuttals   * Try to give me more than just one line for why an argument is true - your rebuttals are fine but they need way more explanation! * When rebutting, try to focus on the main assumption of your opponent’s case and focus on that. That is much more efficient and effective! * Try not to cite studies unless you can be very specific on which study you’re talking about! This is because its tough to know if what you are saying is true.   Arguments   * Try to break down your argument into simpler parts. For example, you talked about children and can be easily convinced. Give me three reasons for why this is true. Three impacts, and why it’s important. This way, your case is much more comprehensive! * You want to make sure that you are louder and making more eye contact with me! * The parent argument didn’t feel too important to me to be honest. You must explain its value first.   Speaking time: 3:40.54, good work! Lets aim for 4 next week. | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Amanda** |
| --- |

| **Motion**: **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * I really like the vibe you had at the beginning! Keep that going. Try to not hold your book in your hand though. * Give me some signposting when you move in between parts of your speech - e.g., I’m now gonna move into my rebuttals, etc.   Rebuttals   * The injury rebuttal wasn’t too big of a hit because it didn’t quite take into account what your opponent was saying here. They said it would be bad because it ends your career. Try to make sure you are dealing with that specifically! * Ex-ploi-tation; I mean, it's fair that they suggest that kids will be exploited. Maybe you can tell me about how this can be safeguarded against? * Try to avoid one liner rebuttals - e.g., all parents care! Not necessarily true. Or at least give me multiple reasons.   Clash analysis   * Good flow! Try to name your clash though. * You want to go to your weighing a bit faster - why are you more true? You want to focus on your main benefits and tell me why you are more impactful and true. * Is the pressure of being an adult really the same as being an athlete though? It doesn’t seem analogous. * Good work acknowledging the harms on your side!   Speaking time: 5:10.32. | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Candice** |
| --- |

| **Motion**:  **THBT professional sports clubs should not be allowed to contract minors (under 18s) to their teams.** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Try to give me a hook next time around. This could include something emotive or directly responding! * Give me signposting!! You must tell me what the flow of your speech is. * You gotta put the book down! I need some eye contact and hand gestures. * Try to make sure that your rebuttals are more than just a single line - You gotta make sure that you are explaining why the argument isn't true! * You **must** structure your speech better. You gotta go;   + Claim   + True x3?   + Impacts?   + Conclusion.   + Please follow this flow. You are smart and capable! I believe you can do much better following this. * Try to make sure you are giving me multiple reasons for why the arguments you’re making is true.   Speaking time: 2:00.10, let’s aim for 3 next week! | | | | | | |

| **Student Name: Giselle** |
| --- |

| **Motion**:  **THW allow for the use of performance enhancing drugs in sports. .** |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | **N/A** | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| Teacher comments:   * Nice hook at the beginning! * Beyond just dealing with the education aspect of things, you can also point out that underprivileged people will even access these drugs anyway? * Also, you must take into the account the details provided by the other side. You can say that they may know the harms, but whether they truly understand them is a different question. * Why would people take drugs anyway just because they see others do so? You must break this down and explain it for me. * Try to explain how your side retains fairness. You can also explain that it isn’t worth it to do drugs anyway - because of the effects it has on the body. Why do you think the average person can’t consent to this? * Try to follow the flow - Clarify, rebut, weigh. Why was your side much more important?   Speaking time of 4:20! Good work! | | | | | | |